

专题聚焦：反垄断法的体系完善——理念、方法和类型化

D912.294

A

2096- 6180 2021 02- 0001- 22

1

“ ” “ ” 2

“

” “ ”

.

1

2 “ ”

“ ”

· R.

2004

“ ” “

” “ ”

2017 6

10

11

“ — ” “ — ”

12

13

13

9 See Odd Langholm, *The Legacy of Scholasticism in Economic Thought: Antecedents of Choice and Power*, Cambridge University Press, 1998, p.161 –

15 16

14

4

35 21

“ ” “ ”

“ ”

“ ”

15

1.

4 59

“

”

”

pr.

1

12

160–161

1993

2019 1–18

14 See Odd Langholm, *The Legacy of Scholasticism in Economic Thought: Antecedents of Choice and Power*, Cambridge University Press, 1998, p.6–7, 42.

15 See Odd Langholm, *The Legacy of Scholasticism in Economic Thought: Antecedents of Choice and Power*, Cambridge University Press, 1998, p.77–81.

2
3
50
corporum pactioni 40 " 16
2.
4 44 2 8
17
" 4 44 2
285 10
28 " 4 44 8
" 293 12 1
" 18
3.
"

16 6
2005 2008 39–40 2015 1 7–8
17 John W. Baldwin, *The Medieval Theories of the Just Price: Romanists, Canonists, and Theologians in the Twelfth and Thirteenth Centuries*, 49 *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society* 18 (1959). — —
1993 6 4
18 1998 48–51

,
,

,

,

,

D

A

B

C

,

,

” 20

21

1.

2.

26

27

28

3.

29

30

26	.		2005	40		
27	. J.	—			1993	157
160–184						
28	.		2005	90–114		
29	. N.				1	
2012	61–62				10	16

20

15 See John W. Baldwin, *The Medieval Theories of the Just Price: Romanists, Canonists, and Theologians in the Twelfth and Thirteenth Centuries*, 49 *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society*, 22–23 (1959).

30

2005

83



4.

4 59

15

32

33

34

32 See Odd Langholm, *The Legacy of Scholasticism in Economic Thought: Antecedents of Choice and Power*, Cambridge University Press, 1998, p.15 – 76, 88 – 99.

33 See Odd Langholm, *The Legacy of Scholasticism in Economic Thought: Antecedents of Choice and Power*, Cambridge University Press, 1998, p.162, 101.

34 · B. · F. 4

2000 14 – 16

35

36

Avicenna

Averroës

37

15

38

35	.
126–135	225
36	
37	. .
38	. .

2008

34–52
2019
2019

2011

28–30
30–41

“ ”

“ ”

39

40

41

42

138 “

”

“

39 . . .
 40 .
 41 .
 2019 149
 42 .

2019 38-48
 2004 65-95
 2005 245-317
 2005 236-237

8 " 43 4 44 2
1897
1900 44 138

45

46

47

48

43 . 2005 197 250-258
44 . J. 2004
111-115 . 2002
604-613
45 . 2003 146-147
46 . 2002 45-64
47 . }

49

50

competition

“ cum-”

“ competition”

“ concurrence”

“ competition”

“ peto-”

“ cum-” “ peto-”

51

49

2014 59–69

50

586–587

51 See Kenneth Dennis, “*Competition*” in *the History of Economic Thought*, Arno Press, 1977, p.1 – 16.

10

2005



52

53

54

55

56

52 See Amos Witztum, *Interdependence, the Invisible Hand, and Equilibrium in Adam Smith*, 42 *History of Political Economy* 155, 162–163 (2010).

53 . 2010 2–3 61

54 See Erich Roll, *History of Economic Thought*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1939, p.64.

55 . 2010 72

56 . 2004 77–95

“ ” mercantilism systém mercantile
 Mirabeau 1763
 “ ” “
 ” 57 16 18

58

59

60

17 20

61

62

57 . 2001 1-2

58 . B. . F. 4
 2000 34-41

59 See Diana Wood, *Medieval Economic Thought*, Cambridge University Press, 2004, p.111.

60 See Lefteris Tsoulfidis, *Competing Schools of Economic Thought*, Springer, 2010, p.7.

61 See Lars Magnusson, *Mercantilism: The Shaping of an Economic Language*, Routledge, 1994, p.60-67.

62 . B. . F. 4
 2000 40-41

“ ” “ ”

“ ”

“ ”

1.

“ ”

64

65

66

“ ” “ ”

“ ”

67

63 See Lars Magnusson, *Mercantilism: The Shaping of an Economic Language*, Routledge, 1994, p.9 – 12.

2001 1 – 15

64 See Kenneth Dennis, “*Competition*” in *the History of Economic Thought*, Arno Press, 1977, p.28, 37.

65 See Lefteris Tsoulfidis, *Competing Schools of Economic Thought*, Springer, 2010, p.8 – 9.

66 . C.

2014

48

67 See Kenneth Dennis, “*Competition*” in *the History of Economic Thought*, Arno Press, 1977, p.27 – 33.

2.

1763

”

“

68

“ ”

69

70

3.

1

71

“

68 See Lefteris Tsoulfidis, *Competing Schools of Economic Thought*, Springer, 2010, p.10 – 11.

69 See Kenneth Dennis, “*Competition*” in *the History of Economic Thought*, Arno Press, 1977, p.76, 78.

70 See Kenneth Dennis, “*Competition*” in *the History of Economic Thought*, Arno Press, 1977, p.83, 38 – 39.

71 See Lefteris Tsoulfidis, *Competing Schools of Economic Thought*, Springer, 2010, p.25.



72	.	2009	11
.	5000	2012	313

73 See Lefteris Tsoulfidis, *Competing Schools of Economic Thought*, Springer, 2010, p.30 – 33; Neri Salvadori & Rodolfo Signorino, *The Classical Notion of Competition Revisited*, 45 *History of Political Economy* 149, 157 – 163 (2013).

74 See G. B. Richardson, *Adam Smith on Competition and Increasing Returns*, in Andrew S. Skinner & Thomas Wilson eds., *Essays on Adam Smith*, Clarendon Press, 1975, p.359.

75 See G. B. Richardson, *Information and Investment: A Study in the Working of the Competitive Economy*, Clarendon Press, 1990, p.2; Lefteris Tsoulfidis, *Competing Schools of Economic Thought*, Springer, 2010, p.31.

76 See Lefteris Tsoulfidis, *Competing Schools of Economic Thought*, Springer, 2010, p.23.

77 . C. 2014 94 – 97

78 See G. B. Richardson, *Adam Smith on Competition and Increasing Returns*, in Andrew S. Skinner & Thomas Wilson eds., *Essays on Adam Smith*, Clarendon Press, 1975, p.350 – 360.

79 See Lefteris Tsoulfidis, *Competing Schools of Economic Thought*, Springer, 2010, p.39 – 42.

80

81

3

82

83

“ ”

1811

“ ”

Torrens

1815

84

80 See Amos Witztum, *Interdependence, the Invisible Hand, and Equilibrium in Adam Smith*, 42 *History of Political Economy* 155, 191 (2010); Lefteris Tsoulfidis, *Competing Schools of Economic Thought*, Springer, 2010, p.29, 33.

81 See Alain Marciano & Steven G. Medema, *Market Failure in Context: Introduction*, 47 *History of Political Economy* 1, 2 (2015).
C. 2014 89

82 See G. B. Richardson, *Adam Smith on Competition and Increasing Returns*, in Andrew S. Skinner & Thomas Wilson eds., *Essays on Adam Smith*, Clarendon Press, 1975, p.350–351.

83 See J. S. Metcalfe & R. Ramlogan, *Competition and the Regulation of Economic Development*, 45 *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 215 (2005); Antonio Nicita, *The Legacy of R. Coase (1910–2013): Toward a theory of institutional “moving equilibrium”?*, 61 *International Review of Economics*, 93–108(2014).

84 See Kenneth Dennis, *“Competition” in the History of Economic Thought*, Arno Press, 1977, p.95–100, 113–116; Lefteris Tsoulfidis, *Classical vs. Neoclassical Conceptions of Competition*, Discussion Paper Series from Department of Economics, University of Macedonia, No. 11/2011, p.13–19.

85

“

.....

” 86

“

”

87

88

89

90

“

” “ ”

“ ” “ ”

85 See Kenneth Dennis, *“Competition” in the History of Economic Thought*, Arno Press, 1977, p.101 – 102.

86 . 2009 258 263

87 See Kenneth Dennis, *“Competition” in the History of Economic Thought*, Arno Press, 1977, p.152 – 160, 180 – 183.

88 Lefteris Tsoulfidis, *Competing Schools of Economic Thought*, Springer, 2010, p.31.

89 2018 5 – 11

90 See Lefteris Tsoulfidis, *Competing Schools of Economic Thought*, Springer, 2010, p.214, 235, 237 – 238.

2019 1 “ 1993 2017

” 2007 1 “

” 2016

34 “ ”

“ ”

Dependent Arising of the Ideal of Competition Laws Between Just Price and Market Competition

DONG Dudu

Abstract: Just price and market competition are substantially different. Just price constitutes the main issue of the medieval theories of the just price. In order to prevent economic coercion, they focus on personal specific trade relationship, and the fairness between the two parties. Market competition is the key topic of modern economics. For the sake of economic growth, they study the laws of the whole non-personal economic system, and the extent to which government should regulate the resource allocation. The ideal of competition laws should be safeguarding and promoting market competition, rather than protecting just price or suppressing economic coercion.

Keywords: Just Price; Economic Coercion; Freedom; Market Competition