国 保 主义国内 —— 232 301

1962

301

1974

232

关: 232 301
D996.1 A 2096-6180 2019 01-0001-17

一、 国 律体 内在 分

:

1916 Antidumping Act of 1916 1930 Tariff Act of 1930

1962 Trade Expansion Act of 1962 1974 Trade Act of 1974 1979

Trade Agreement Act of 1979 1984 Trade and Tariff Act of

1984 1988 Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988

North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act
Uruguay Round Agreements Act 2002 Trade Act of 2002
International Emergency Economic Powers Act

international Emergency Economic Fowers Act

2018 " " CLS 2018 D158 -

" No.2 2018

Regulations Applicable to Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Cases

8 Public Law 98 – 573, 98 Stat. 2948 (1984).

2 B

19 U.S.C.§2114c.

^{19 1872 19} U.S.C.§1872 .

Public Law 100 – 418, 102 Stat. 1107 (1988).

[&]quot; third-country dumping

19 U.S.C.§1906 .

WTO)	15	301 WTO WTO		14	102				
	2002				16					
	WTO			17.6						
				и	" standard of revie WTO	·W				
				WTO						
				18	17					
	二、232	与其他		区别						
	1962			1980	14	" 232				
Tools 20 1988 " Antifriction Bearings 21 1992 " Integrated Circuit Ceramic Packaging 22 1962										
116 St		103 – 182, 107 S 103 – 465, 108 S	tat. 4813 (1994).	" Division B—Bipartisan Trade	Promotion Authority Public L	aw 107-210,				
	18 351. 19 20 21	408 "	Buy Americ	www.bis.doc.gov/232	2018 4 2					
	22									

232 a 201 1930 350 b 232 b Federal Register i 2 A b ii iii b 3 A 270 90 1 c Α 23 2 30 c 24 d f e 232 " 232 " 232 " 2018 23 2017 4 20 " 4 27 3 8

25% 10%

19 U.S.C.§1862c .

1862c

24

25 26 **GATT** 21 b i ii iii WTO WTO 13 **GATT** WTO 28 29 1949 30 ³¹ 1951 32

1

John H. Jackson, William J. Davey and Alan O. Sykes, Jr., LEGAL PROBLEMS OF INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC RELATIONS—CASES, MATERIALS AND TEXT1079 (5th ., 2008).

^{26 .}at para 1080.

²⁷ GATT 35 Non-application of the Agreement Between Particular Contracting Parties
2

²⁸ WTO 13 1 29 WTO 10 3 30

^{20 50}

John H. Jackson, World Trade and the Law of GATT: A Legal Analysis of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 749(1969).

^{32 65} Stat. 73, Sec.5 (1951).

" 33 34 **GATT** 35 1983 **GATT** 13 2 35 36 1985 37 **GATT** 21 **"** 38 **GATT** 39 40 232 WTO 33 note 70 at 749 – 750. 34 at para 243. 35 , Report of the Panel adopted on 13 March, 1984 (L/5607 – 31S/67). 36 Executive Order No. 12513, 50 Fed. Reg. 18629 (1985). 37 $2\;BNA\;Intl.\;Trade\;Rptr.\;765\;(1985);\;3\;BNA\;Intl.\;Trade\;Rptr.\;380\;(1986).$ 38 GATT 21 2 GATT 21 2 GATT 21 John H. Jackson, William J. Davey and Alan O. Sykes, Jr., LEGAL PROBLEMS OF

 $\begin{tabular}{l} \textbf{International Economic Relations-Cases, Materials and Text 1081-1082 (5th)} \\ \textbf{39} \end{tabular}$

" 232 三、301 保 与 主义 " 301 **USTR** 1 300 25% **USTR** Relief from Unfair Trade Practices $United\ States — Definitive\ Safeguard\ Measures\ on\ Imports\ of\ Certain\ Steel\ Products,\ DS252.$ " 301 Under Section 301 Action, USTR Releases Proposed Tariff List on Chinese Products. https://ustr.gov/about-us/policy-offices/ press-office/press-releases/2018/april/under-section-301-action-ustr(last visited April 4,2018). 2015 28 " Antidumping Duties " Countervailing Duties " Unfair Import Practices

" 301 301 Mandatory action Discretionary a action Scope of authority d Definitions and special rules a 1 A i В ii 48 1 a 2 A WTO a ii I i II В i ii I II iii iv 301 2 1 WTO 3 a b a 1 c В WTO John H. Jackson, William J. Davey and Alan

47

310

https://piie.com/blogs/trade-investment-policy-watch/rogue-301-trump-dust-another-outdated-us-trade-law (last visited April 25,2018).

O. Sykes, Jr., Legal Problems of International Economic Relations—Cases, Materials and Text 493(5th ., 2008).

Chad P. Bown,

" 301

⁴⁹ C

301	d	3	B (iii)			WTO		
			V	VTO	"	"	V	VTO 2
						301	d 3 B	iii)
							WTO	
"	"			301				
				1974			122	" 301 "
	"		"				"	" " 301
,,				u	"			
			" 301	"			"	"
				WTO				" 301
,,					55			" 301 "

1974 1998 301-310 306 305 WTO 1 306 305 WTO 2 306 305 3 301—310 306 305 DSU 3 21 22 23 WTO 16 4 GATT 1994 1 2 3 8 4 1974 GATT 1994 WTO **GATT** ⁵⁸ 301—310 59 WTO 304 WTO DSU 23 2 a Ä PSU 23 60 306 61 SAA 62 305 WTO **"** 63 2 A 301 a 2 A " 301 " WTO

u "

" 301 "

70 "

DS542 1
TRIPS 3 71 28 72

TRIPS 3 71 28 72
TRIPS 28

24 3 27 29 3

2 43 2 4

TRIPS 3 28 1 a 2 TRIPS 28 1

b TRIPS 1 a b

TRIPS WTO

TRIPS 7 8 40 66 WTO

TRIMS

a 1 B "
" "
" "
" "
" 73

DS542 353 "

"

70 WT/DS542/1IP//D38.

71 TRIPS 3 " "

72 TRIPS 28 " 1. a b

2. " 73 7.3

TRIPS WTO

TKII 5 W I

TRIPS " 301 1 GATT 1994 2 GATT 1994 2 3 DSU b " 232 1 GATT WTO 2.1 2.2 4.1 4.2 5.1 7 11.1 a 12.1 1 a 2 12.2 12.3 " unforeseen development " in such increased quantities " " cause or threaten " under such conditions to cause serious injury to domestic producers]

WTO 2 GATT 1994 2 1 a b 3 GATT 1994 1 1 immediately unconditionally 10 3 a 301 " 301 WTO DS543 WTO DS544 2018 232 16% 70% 3% 28 78 五、 GATT/WTO

301 WTO

DS543

77 , WT/DS544/1G/L/1222/ G/SG/D50/1.

78 " http://news.sina.com.cn/c/2018 – 05 – 09/doc – ihaichqy8629301. shtml

2018 5 9

" 301 " WTO
DS544

232 WTO
WTO

" "

A Critical Analysis on the Domestic Law Sources of Trade Protectionism of the United States

-With Comments on Article 232 and Article 301

HU Jiaxiang

Abstract: On March 23, 2018, President Trump of the United States announced that, based on the investigation conclusion under Article 301 of the Trade Act of 1974, his government would impose a massive round of tariffs on the imports from China and limit the mergers of Chinese and American enterprises. On March 8, Trump had already announced that, based on the investigation conclusion under Article 232 of the Expansion Act of 1962, the US government would impose 25% and 10% tariffs respectively on the steel and aluminium products imported from China and other countries. While the impact on the Chinese exports of steel and aluminium products needs further assessment, the investigation conclusion under Articles 301 will influence the exports of 1300 tariff items from China, which are worth 60 billion US dollars. Although both governments have visited each other frequently in order to avoid the trade war between the two countries, the US government often broke its promises. What are the domestic law sources behind the US actions? Whether are the US measures in conformity with the WTO rules? How can other countries react to the US measures? All these are the issues which deserve our serious consideration.

Keywords: Trade Protectionism; Domestic Law Sources; Article 232; Article 301